	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/709,602	LONG, JULIUS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Darren W. Gorman	3752	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Darren W. Gorman</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Michael Greenberg</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 August 2006	Time: <u>11:30 AM EST</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: NA			
Claims discussed: 2			
Prior art documents discussed: NA			
Part II.		•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
		•	
Dan Source			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to propose amending the claim language of claim 2. The Examiner indicated that the claim, as presented in the amendment filed January 9, 2006, is not anticipated or obvious in view of the prior art, however the claim would be considered indefinite, because the step of "submerging the series of pipes in a cooling tank" is unclear since the previous step channels the exahust "from the series of pipes through a radiator cooling system". The claim is indefinite because it is unclear as to whether the exhaust exits the series of pipes and then enters a cooling system that is separate from the series of pipes, or if the series of pipes are actually part of the cooling system. Upon further discussion and consideration, the Examiner and Applicant's representative agreed to the claim amendments set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment, in order to pass the instant application to issue.